Controlling internal and external hemorrhages due to any cause is very important in preventing negative consequences due to blood loss. For this purpose, most frequently used conventional method is compression by a sterile gauze, there are also a number of various products on the market, but there is an urgent non-satisfaction about their adequacy in stopping bleeding expectancy. Therefore, there is a tendency about use of antihemorrhagic agents for stopping minor and major bleedings during and after spontaneous or surgical interventions, extracorporeal injuries, dental operations.

When the integrity of the liver is disrupted, bleeding is con-
trolled difficulty because of the sinusoidal structure lacking smooth muscle fibers causing vasoconstriction in normal vessels.[1, 2] Liver trauma results in 10-15% mortality, and bleeding is defined as the most important factor.[3] Likewise, bleeding itself and complications secondary to bleeding are accepted as one of the most important problems in elective surgical resections for primary or metastatic liver tumors.[4] Bipolar and monopolar electrocautery, ultrasonic dissectors, radio frequency equipment, cryotherapy and various hemostatic agents are all used to perform liver surgery in a short time and safely.[5-19] Reported alternative therapies include local hemostatic agents that reduce bleeding and complications like infection after surgery. [20-35] These agents are used as topical agents such as collagen, gelatin or cellulose based products, fibrin sealant and synthetic adhesives in addition to traditional surgical techniques, especially in patients with coagulation disorders.[13, 20] The Algan Hemostatic Agent (AHA), the herbal extract derived from the standardized blend of six different plants (Table 1). As we know, it is the first and only patented product made solely of herbs, with no additives in the world. (Patent application publication no. TR2015 0018 A2, date of issue of patent document: 2017/11/21).

Each of the plants that form AHA has a content which is effective in hemostasis by alone or in combination. All biocompatibility tests such as sensitization, cytotoxicity and irritation and haemodynamic tests of the AHA were performed, and the results supported its safety and efficacy as a hemostatic agent. It is easily applied locally. Further, it has low cost, and does not require special storage.

Currently, there are products that have clinical trials and have been affected by similar mechanisms that have been granted for internal and external use.[31, 32] When AHA used in moist environment, it quickly polymerizes into a thin elastic film which has high tensile strength and firmly adheres to the anatomy of the tissue on which it is applied. Here, we aimed to evaluate the haemostatic effect of AHA in liver surgery in an animal model of hepatectomy.

### Methods

For this study, approval was obtained from Kirikkale University Animal Experiments Local Ethics Committee (Decision no. 2018/04). The experiment was carried out as stated in the literature.[25] In the study, 64 rats which are 180-210 grams, 5-7 week old were used. Rats were fed ad libitum and examined under standard laboratory conditions according to 12-hour dark-light period. The rats were randomly divided into 2 groups as heparinized and non-heparinized; each of the group has 32 rats. After that, rats separated to 8 randomly selected groups of 8. Dose of 640 IU/kg heparin was administered intraperitoneally to heparinized group three times a day for 3 days. The same amount of saline was given to the other group. The groups were formed as follows. 1st group (Heparinized control group), 2nd group (Heparinized AHA powder group), 3rd group (Heparinized AHA gel group), 4th group (Heparinized AHA liquid impregnated sponge group), 5th group (Non-Heparinized control group), 6th group (Non-Heparinized AHA powder group), 7th group (Non-Heparinized AHA gel group), 8th group (Non-Heparinized AHA liquid impregnated sponge group).

The procedures were performed under general anesthesia with ketamine hydrochloride (100 mg/kg) and xylazine hydrochloride (10 mg/kg). To carry out the experiment, laparotomy was performed with abdominal midline incision and the suspension ligaments of liver were released. Non-anatomical surgical resection was performed to the left lobe of the liver (Fig. 1) and the weight of the resected liver tissue was measured. AHA fluid (sponge), AHA powder, AHA gel and SF impregnated sponge in 2 cc volume were applied to the resected liver surface (Fig. 1). AHA powder was directly applied to the bleeding surface by hand, and even any press was not performed. The gel form which was in injector as liquid sprayed directly to the bleeding area, the liquid became gel rapidly after spraying and the pressure was not exerted. The liquid form as a liquid-impregnated sponge was applied directly to the bleeding surface and pressed on surface lightly. In case of continuing bleeding after first application, the procedure was repeated with the same amount of the product. As it was known from previous experiments, AHA usually controlled bleeding within 10 seconds; because of this, the first application lasted 15 seconds, the second application lasted 30 seconds, and the third application lasted one minute. Subsequent applications took one minute. The application was measured chronometrically. After the procedure, hemostasis was observed in each group for 10 minutes. The rats were kept alive for one more week. One week after the first procedure rats were sacrificed and their livers were sacrificed for histopathological investigation of effects of AHA to liver and put in 10% formaldehyde solution for fixation.

### Table 1. Algan hemostatic agent product composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The name of the plant</th>
<th>English name</th>
<th>Used part</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Achillea millefolium</td>
<td>Yarrow</td>
<td>Flower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juglans regia</td>
<td>Walnut</td>
<td>Leaf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lycopodium clavatum</td>
<td>Club moss</td>
<td>Whole plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubus caesius, R. fruticosus</td>
<td>Blackberry</td>
<td>Leaf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscum album</td>
<td>European Mistletoe</td>
<td>Whole plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitis vinifera</td>
<td>Vine</td>
<td>Leaf</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Histopathological Evaluation

Tissue samples were processed routinely at pathology laboratory and examined under light microscope. These procedures were briefly carried out as follows: during routine follow-up process, tissue fixation in neutral buffered formalin for a period of time proceeded, tissue specimens were dehydrated in graded alcohols and embedded in paraffin, respectively. Five micrometer tissue sections were cut on the rotary microtome and stained with hematoxylin & eosin (H&E).

Histopathological evaluation was performed as described in the literature.\cite{34} Tissue samples were evaluated by a single pathologist blinded to the groups. Samples were scored from 1 to 3 according to the inflammation status and formation of granulation tissue as follows: 1, mild; 2, moderate; 3, high. A similar scoring system was used for cell necrosis as follows: <25% of the field at 1/40 magnification was scored as 1; 25%-50% of the field as 2; and >50% of the field as 3.

SPSS software version 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) was used to analyze the data of this study. Weight, bleeding time were calculated and mean values were compared among the four groups by using analysis of variance (ANOVA). The results were assessed at a 95% confidence interval and a significance level of p<0.05. The data were expressed as mean (minimum-maximum).

Results

Average bleeding time, body weight, and resected liver segment weight distribution of the groups were summarized in Table 2. The groups were same in terms of body weight and resected segment weight (p>0.05). The shortest bleeding time was measured in the AHA powder group. The AHA powder form was able to control the bleeding in heparinized and non-heparinized groups in 7 and 4 seconds, respectively. The AHA gel form was able to control the bleeding in heparinized and non-heparinized groups in 8 and 5 seconds, respectively.

In the AHA non-heparinized liquid group, bleeding control was achieved at 4, 2, and 2 rats in the first (15 sec), second (45 sec) and third (105 sec) applications, respectively. AHA heparinized liquid group, bleeding control was provided in 4 rats in the 2nd application and in the 4 other rats in the 3rd application (Table 2). The bleeding time in the control group was significantly longer than in the experimental groups (Table 2).

Hemostasis duration of the control and the AHA liquid groups were reported in Table 3. AHA fluid (sponge) was applied to the bleeding at least 1 to 3 times. The SF impregnated sponge was applied to the resected liver surface at least 6 to 12 times. The barrier formed on the surface of the liver lobectomy resection line immediately after application of the AHA

Table 2. Average bleeding time, body weight, and resected liver segment weight distribution of the groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 1 (HC)</th>
<th>Group 2 (HP)</th>
<th>Group 3 (HG)</th>
<th>Group 4 (HL)</th>
<th>Group 5 (NHC)</th>
<th>Group 6 (NHP)</th>
<th>Group 7 (NHG)</th>
<th>Group 8 (NHS)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MW (gr)</td>
<td>180.4</td>
<td>185.5</td>
<td>179.2</td>
<td>184.9</td>
<td>175.6</td>
<td>183.4</td>
<td>178.9</td>
<td>185.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRLS (mg)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT (sn)</td>
<td>410 sec</td>
<td>7 sec</td>
<td>8 sec</td>
<td>&lt;75 sec</td>
<td>220 sec</td>
<td>4 sec</td>
<td>5 sec</td>
<td>&lt;45 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(min-max)</td>
<td>(285-645)</td>
<td>(4-9)</td>
<td>(6-9)</td>
<td>(45-115)</td>
<td>(165-405)</td>
<td>(4-7)</td>
<td>(4-7)</td>
<td>(15-45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANR</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(min-max)</td>
<td>(2-3)</td>
<td>(4-8)</td>
<td>(1-3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HC; Heparinized control group; HP: Heparinized AHA powder group; HG: Heparinized AHA gel group; HL: Heparinized AHA liquid impregnated sponge group; NHC: Non Heparinized control group; NHP: Non Heparinized AHA powder group; NHG: Non Heparinized AHA gel group; NHL: Non Heparinized AHA liquid impregnated sponge group; AHA: Algan Hemostatic Agent; MW: Mean Weight; MRLS: Mean Resected Liver Segment; BT: Bleeding time; ANR: Average number of repetitions.
gel form. It is seen that the gel particles trap the blood between them and form a barrier (Fig. 2).

In histopathological examination mild portal inflammation (score-1) on the contact surface of the liver of rats was observed in the control group and all forms of AHA groups. Although mild fibrosis was observed in the control groups and all forms of AHA groups, necrosis were not detected in any of the control and AHA groups (Fig. 3, 4).

In the histological examination of the first application, hemostatic barrier was observed on the cutted surface of the liver that consist of gel and clot mixture (Fig. 2).

One week later, the gel form was nearly absorbed and the macrophage layer was observed between the liver parenchyma and the hemostatic barrier. No necrosis was observed in the liver parenchyma under the macrophage barrier. The hemostatic barrier was seen to be started organizing (Fig. 3 and 4).

### Discussion

Three different forms of AHA were tested in this study and all of them were very effective. Although the powder form

---

**Table 3. Hemostasis duration of the control and the AHA liquid groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bleeding stopped in first practice (15 sec)</th>
<th>Bleeding stopped in second practice (30 sec)</th>
<th>Bleeding stopped in third practice (60 sec)</th>
<th>Fourth and after 4 practice (Ineffective)</th>
<th>Average number of repetitions (min-max)</th>
<th>Average bleeding time (min-max)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control, non-heparinized</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>8 (100%)</td>
<td>6 (4-8)</td>
<td>220 sec (165-405)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHA liquid, non-heparinized</td>
<td>4 (50%)</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1.75 (1-3)</td>
<td>&lt;45 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control, Heparinized</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>8 (100%)</td>
<td>9 (6-12)</td>
<td>410 sec (285-645)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHA liquid, Heparinized</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>4 (50%)</td>
<td>4 (50%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2.5 (2-3)</td>
<td>&lt;75 s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The formula of the number of repetitions of the average process calculation = (1x1 number of application) + (2x2 number of application) + (3x3 number of application)/total number of application; The formula of average bleeding control time calculation = (15x1 number of application) + (30x2 number of application) + (60x3 number of application)/total number of application.
controls bleeding a bit quicker than other forms, all of the others are accepted as effective as the powder form, since there is no statistical difference between them in terms of efficacy of bleeding control.

Liver injury, laceration and hepatectomy, hepatic trauma models are used as synonyms in the literature. As stated in the literature, the average of bleeding time in control group differs among studies. The average bleeding time was measured 223 seconds and 377 seconds according to studies performed on Sprague Dawley rats. In our study, this time was measured 220 seconds in the non-heparinized control group and 410 seconds in the heparinized control group. In a similar study in the literature, the product Ankaferd Blood Stopper controlled the bleeding at 23 seconds while Surgicel ceased at 47 seconds. In our study, bleeding control was provided at 7, 4, 8 and 5 seconds in AHA powder heparinized group, in non-heparinized group, in AHA gel heparinized group and in non-heparinized group, respectively. According to the results of this study, although the AHA seems to be the most effective hemostatic agent used for this purpose in the liver laceration (lobectomy) model in the literature, the actual differences can only be demonstrated by further comparative studies of different hemostatic agents.

In the literature, liver has been histologically examined after application of hemostatic agent in a small number of studies performed with liver lobectomy. In a study comparing Ankaferd and Surgicell with controls, all rats in the control group died within 12 minutes.

In this study, 3 rats from the ABS group 2 rats from Surgicell group survived less than 30 minutes after the procedure. The other rats were sacrificed after 30 minutes of observation. And the liver was histologically examined. In this study, ABS-treated animals showed no difference compared to the Surgicell group with regard to time to death. In our study, none of the rats died after the procedure and were alive for another week for histological examination. One week later, the rats were sacrificed and the liver was examined histopathologically. It can be related to the fact that none of the AHA administered rats died within 30 minutes and that all of their lives for one week could have early control of blood loss in the AHA.

In another hepatectomy study, solid carbon dioxide was compared with suture. In this study, solid carbon dioxide was considered as two groups. Suture group and a group of solid carbon dioxide were sampled on the same day, another group of solid carbon dioxide was sacrificed on the 7th day and examined histopathologically. In this study hemostasis times were 377, 203, 176 seconds for control, suture and solid carbon dioxide, respectively. In our study, the duration of hemostasis was similar in the control group, but the AHA maintained hemostasis for 4 seconds in powder form and 5 seconds in gel form.

The AHA forms that were applied to the liver didn't have any adverse effect on healing of the liver. We found a decrease in portal inflammation, fibrosis and cell necrosis compared to the control groups. Some of the local hemostatic agents induce thermal injuries, nevertheless AHA does not cause any thermal damage.

In some studies designed as liver haemorrhage model in the literature, the amount of blood lost instead of bleeding time was measured, and tried to show hemostatic efficacy. Since in the liver laceration models it is not possible to hold the applied gel, powder and liquid on surface of liver, we consider that measuring the bleeding time is a more decisive method than the amount of blood lost, and designed our study accordingly. We think, the medical literature needs to be rescued from the complexity of concepts about bleeding control, and bleeding models should be standardized.

In this study, we conduct a preclinical animal trial with AHA, and no comparison was made with the other products. Due to the many factors such as animal weight, the experience of the practitioner, technical differences, laboratory conditions, etc., it is necessary to compare the other hemostatic agents with AHA in the same experiment for evaluating bleeding effectiveness. We think that it will be more appropriate to perform future studies comparing AHA with the other haemostatic agents by independent groups.

Figure 4. Histopathological appearance in liver treated with AHA liquid a week after procedure; Star: early organized image forming barrier of AHA gel form on the surface of the liver lobectomy incision line; Short arrow: macrophages accumulating between the parenchyma of the liver and the AHA barrier; Long arrow: After 7 days of administration of AHA liquid form, normal liver image without necrosis and inflammation (Hematoxylin and Eosin stain x40).
Conclusion

AHA, a new herbal hemostatic agent, is effective in controlling local hemostasis in rats liver lobectomy model. Histological studies have shown that the mechanism of action of AHA is physical. Accordingly, when AHA is applied to the liver resection surface, it becomes a gel and forms a barrier by surrounding the fibrin, blood and blood components in the environment. Although this study shows that AHA controls hemorrhage earlier than other hemostats, it is necessary to conduct studies that should be confronted with other products.
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